IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 940 OF 2006.

Rajendra Gambhir Patil. ... Applicant.
Versus.
The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Shri A.Y.Sakhare, Senior Advocate i/by M/s.YKS Legal
for the Applicant.

Shri Rajesh More, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 21st September, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The conviction of the Applicant is for offence punishable under section 7 and section 13(2) read with 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act. The substantive sentence is of two years. The fine amount has already been paid. The Applicant was on bail during the pendency of the trial. There is no allegation of misuse of liberty granted in favour of the Applicant during the pendency of the trial. Considering these facts, case is made out for enlarging the Applicant on bail.

2. The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

3. The bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will furnish his permanent address to the concerned Officer of the A.C.B., Thane within a period of two weeks from today and will mark his attendance at the local Police Station near the place of his residence once in every calender month till disposal of the Appeal.

Judge.